# Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: CENTER INT Campus ID: 210901102 District Name: CENTER ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset

STAAR Percent A				Campus A			White					Special Ed		ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
				,		(,			•	,							
<b>Grade 4</b> Reading	2016 2015		64% 65%	64% 65%	56% 50%	71% 66%	67% 74%	- -	56% 71%	- -	*	52% *	61% 60%	64% 64%	64% 73%	64% 58%	- *
Mathematics	2016 2015		51% 68%	51% 68%	42% 50%	49% 68%	56% 78%	-	78% 86%	-	*	44% *	48% 63%	44% 67%	44% 66%	57% 70%	- *
Writing	2016 ( 2015 (		53% 59%	53% 59%	38% 41%	57% 66%	59% 63%	-	67% 71%	-	*	44%	52% 56%	48% 62%	55% 65%	52% 54%	- *
Grade 5																	
Reading	2016 8 2015 8		67% 76%	67% 76%	57% 67%	67% 70%	74% 88%	-	* 70%	-	*	25% 31%	63% 71%	58% 59%	73% 82%	63% 70%	*
Mathematics	2016 8 2015		86% 82%	86% 82%	82% 76%	88% 85%	88% 83%	- -	* 80%	-	*	65% 56%	84% 82%	82% 79%	87% 90%	86% 74%	*
Science	2016 2015		64% 60%	64% 60%	48% 55%	68% 46%	70% 80%	-	* 60%	-	*	35%	60% 54%	63% 34%	62% 62%	67% 58%	*
All Grades All Subjects	2016 1 2015 1		63% 62%	65% 68%	54% 55%	67% 67%	70% 77%	- -	71% 73%	-	33%	45% 27%	61% 64%	59% 61%	64% 73%	65% 64%	* 78%
Reading	2016 2015		59% 61%	66% 70%	56% 57%	69% 68%	71% 80%	-	64% 71%	-	*	40% 26%	62% 65%	61% 62%	68% 77%	63% 64%	*
Mathematics	2016 2015		68% 65%	69% 75%	62% 61%	69% 76%	73% 80%	-	79% 82%	-	*	53% 38%	66% 72%	63% 72%	65% 78%	73% 72%	*
Writing	2016 ( 2015 (		57% 55%	53% 59%	38% 41%	57% 66%	59% 63%	-	67% 71%	-	*	44% *	52% 56%	48% 62%	55% 65%	52% 54%	- *
Science	2016 2015		67% 63%	64% 60%	48% 55%	68% 46%	70% 80%	-	* 60%	-	*	35% *	60% 54%	63% 34%	62% 62%	67% 58%	*
STAAR Percent at	t Final L	evel II	or Abo	ove													
All Grades	2012	100/	200/		222/	220/	200/		400/		100/	000/	050/	100/	0.40/	000/	*
All Subjects	2016 4 2015 3		26% 23%	29% 26%	20% 13%	26% 24%	38% 35%	-	40% 43%	-	13% -	26% 12%	25% 22%	16% 17%	31% 29%	26% 23%	22%
Reading	2016 4 2015 4		26% 26%	29% 28%	19% 16%	26% 20%	42% 43%	-	36% 41%	-	*	28% 12%	25% 21%	13% 13%	35% 32%	24% 25%	*
Mathematics	2016 4 2015 3		24% 21%	32% 31%	20% 14%	31% 34%	39% 35%	-	50% 59%	-	*	26% 15%	27% 28%	22% 30%	31% 31%	32% 31%	*
Writing	2016 3 2015 3		22% 18%	21% 18%	20% 11%	17% 20%	26% 18%	-	22% 43%	-	*	26%	20% 15%	7% 12%	25% 27%	18% 10%	- *
Science	2016 4 2015 4		30% 24%	28% 21%	20% 6%	24% 15%	36% 37%	-	* 20%	-	*	25%	24% 16%	18% 5%	27% 23%	29% 20%	*
STAAR Percent at	t Level I	II Adva	anced														
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		7% 7%	9% 9%	7% 3%	7% 7%	12% 15%	- -	12% 22%	-	7% -	6% 0%	7% 7%	2% 5%	10% 11%	8% 8%	* 0%

	S	State Di	strict	t Car		African nericar	ı Hispan	ic White	Ameri e India		Asian I			Specia	al Econ Disad		Female	Male I	Migrant
J	16 1 15 1		8% 8%		1% 2%	10% 4%	7% 6%	17% 23%	-		14% 24%	-	*	9% 0%	7% 7%	2% 2%	13% 15%	9% 9%	*
Mathematics 20°	16 1 15 1		6% 6%		0% 3%	6% 5%	10% 12%	13% 14%	-		21% 35%	-	*	4% 0%	8% 11%	4% 12%	9% 13%	11% 12%	*
Writing 20°	16 1 15		4% 2%		5% %	2% 0%	4% 0%	9% 1%	-		0% 0%	-	*	4% *	4% 1%	0% 0%	8% 1%	3% 0%	- *
	16 1 15 1		6% 4%		5% '%	7% 0%	4% 3%	6% 15%	-		* 10%	-	*	5% *	5% 4%	0% 0%	5% 7%	5% 7%	*
STAAR Participation (	(All (	Grades	)																
All Tests		2016 2015			100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	-	100% 98%	- 1 -	100%			100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	* 100%
Reading		2016 2015			100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	-	100% 94%	- 1 -	100%	100% 97%		100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 99%	* 100%
Mathematics		2016 2015			100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	99% 99%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	- 1 -	100%			99% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	* 100%
Writing		2016 2015			100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	-	*	100% 95%		100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	- 100%
Science		2016 2015			100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	- -				100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%
STAAR Participation F	Resu	ults by	Asse	ssm	ent Typ	e for S	tudents	Served	in Spe	cial	Educati	ion Set	tings (	All Grad	es)				
Reading Tests % of Participants % STAAR/EOC With	th No		16	98%	98%	98%	100%	92%	100%	-	-	-	*	98%	98%	100%	100%	97%	-
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With			16	13%	8%	13%	6%	0%	28%	-	-	-	*	13%	9%	0%	22%	7%	-
Accommodations				73%	82%	67%	65%	75%	61%	-	-	-	*	67%	70%	89%	50%	77%	-
% STAAR Alternate % of Non-Participants			16 16	11% 2%	9% 2%	19% 2%	29% 0%	17% 8%	11% 0%	-	-	-	*	19% 2%	19% 2%	11% 0%	28% 0%	13% 3%	-
Mathematics Tests % of Participants % STAAR/EOC Wit	th No		16	99%	99%	98%	100%	92%	100%	-	-	-	*	98%	98%	100%	100%	97%	-
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With			16	12%	9%	13%	6%	0%	28%	-	-	-	*	13%	9%	0%	22%	7%	-
Accommodations				75%	80%	67%	65%	75%	61%	-	-	-	*	67%	70%	89%	50%	77%	-
% STAAR Alternate % of Non-Participants			16 16	12% 1%	10% 1%	19% 2%	29% 0%	17% 8%	11% 0%	-	-	-	*	19% 2%	19% 2%	11% 0%	28% 0%	13% 3%	-

<sup>&#</sup>x27;\*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

															Percent
								Two or			ELL				of Eliaible
	All	African			American		Pacific			Specia	I (Current &	ELL	Total	Total	5
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	+	Met	Eligible	Met
Performance Status - State															
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Υ	N	Υ	Υ					Υ	N	Υ		5	7	71

<sup>&#</sup>x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Mathematics Writing	All Studen Y N	African tsAmerican Y N	Hispanic Y N	White Y N	American Indian				Econ		ELL I (Current & Monitored Y N			Total Eligible 7 7	
Science Social Studies	Y	N	Y	Y					Y	IN	Y		5 0	6 0	83
Total													16	27	59
Performance Status - Federa	I														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N	N	N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	Ν	N	n/a			
Mathematics	N	N	N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ					Υ	Υ		Υ	7	7	100
Mathematics <b>Total</b>	Y	Y	Υ	Y					Y	Y		Υ	7 <b>14</b>	7 <b>14</b>	100 <b>100</b>
Federal Graduation Status (T Graduation Target Met Reason Code ***	arget: Se	e Reason Co	des)										0	0	
Total													0	0	
District: Met Federal Limits o Reading Alternate 1%	n Alterna	ative Assessn	nents												
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit Mathematics	n/a														
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Total															
Overall Total													30	41	73

+ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS) \*\*\* Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90% c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10<sup>th</sup>
b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% lank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
Performance Rates												
Reading												_
# at Level II Satisfactory	244	47	107	84	-	**	-	*	186	19	102	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	362	85	148	117	-	**	-	*	291	46	140	112
% at Level II Satisfactory	67%	55%	72%	72%	-	75%	-	*	64%	41%	73%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	254	51	107	86	-	**	-	*	194	24	102	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	362	85	148	117	-	**	-	*	291	46	140	112
% at Level II Satisfactory	70%	60%	72%	74%	-	88%	-	*	67%	52%	73%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	97	17	42	32	-	*	-	*	79	12	42	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	182	45	74	54	-	*	-	*	153	27	74	61
% at Level II Satisfactory	53%	38%	57%	59%	-	*	_	*	52%	44%	57%	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	119	18	55	44	-	*	-	*	85	6	49	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	181	40	75	63	_	*	_	*	139	19	67	52
% at Level II Satisfactory	66%	45%	73%	70%	_	*	_	*	61%	32%	73%	n/a
Standard	33,0	.070	. 0 / 0	. 0 / 0					0.70	0=70	. 0 / 0	
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	n/a
Standard												
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	n/a
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	11/4
Total Tests % at Level II Satisfactory Standard	-	- -	-	-	-	-	- -	- -	- -	-	-	- n/a

								Two or	_		ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme												
Number Participating	389	90	159	120	-	14	-	5	312	48	n/a	130
Total Students	389	90	159	120	-	14	-	5	312	48	n/a	130
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asses	ssments											
Number Participating	387	89	158	120	-	14	-	5	311	48	n/a	128
Total Students	388	89	159	120	-	14	-	5	312	48	n/a	129
Participation Rate	100%	100%	99%	100%	-	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	n/a	99%

- \* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- \*\* When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	A II	African			American		Dooifie	Two or	Faan	Chasial		ELL
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grade	uation Rate (	Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2015									
Number Graduated	- `	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grade	uation Rate (	Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2014									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rate	e (Gr 9-12): C	lass of 2014	ļ									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

## District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

Mathematics
Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

- \* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- \*\* When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

**Priority schools** are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports.Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

#### Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.9%	1.0%
Bachelors	20.6	77.5%	82.4%	74.7%
Masters	6.0	22.5%	16.7%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%

# Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

#### **Core Academic Subject Areas**

		General	Special	Total
		Education	Education	
Total Number of Teachers		21	2	23
Total Number of Classes		21	2	23
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	21	2	23
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Teachers
	Elem (PK-6)	secondary (7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	Ó	Ó
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number	of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	2	1
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

#### Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

#### State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			0/	%	%	%
Grade	Cubicot	Student Croup	% Below Basic	At or Above Basic	At or Above Proficient	At or Above Advanced
Grade 4	Subject Reading	Student Group Overall	36	64	31	Auvanceu 7
Grade 4	rteading	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

### State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

<b>Grade</b> Grade 4	<b>Subject</b> Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95

GradeSubjectStudent Group%MathematicsStudents with Disabilities81Limited English Proficient90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment