# Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

District Name: CENTER ISD District ID: 210901

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

										_	Two or	_					
			Region		African			American		Pacific		•				Mala	Minnent
STAAR Percent At		State ove Lev						Indian se-in 1 Le			Races	Ed	Disadv	ELL	remaie	waie	Migrant
					,	(=0.0)											
Grade 3													===/		2.404	2404	
Reading	2016 2015		70% 73%	61% 64%	46% 62%	55% 59%	78% 75%	-	* 55%	*	*	33% 50%	53% 61%	53% 60%	61% 62%	61% 66%	- *
	2013	7470	1370	04 70	0270	39%	15%	-	55%	-		30%	0176	00%	0270	00%	
Mathematics	2016	74%	71%	67%	50%	67%	78%	-	71%	*	*	48%	63%	66%	66%	68%	-
	2015	74%	72%	68%	51%	67%	80%	-	73%	-	*	59%	65%	68%	67%	69%	*
Grade 4																	
Reading	2016	74%	73%	64%	56%	71%	67%	_	56%	_	*	52%	61%	64%	64%	64%	_
Ü	2015	71%	69%	65%	50%	66%	74%	-	71%	-	-	*	60%	64%	73%	58%	*
M = 41= 42 = -	0040	700/	700/	E40/	400/	400/	500/		700/		*	4.40/	400/	4.40/	4.40/	<b>-7</b> 0/	
Mathematics	2016 2015		70% 67%	51% 68%	42% 50%	49% 68%	56% 78%	-	78% 86%	-	_	44% *	48% 63%	44% 67%	44% 66%	57% 70%	*
	2010	7 1 70	01 /0	00 /0	0070	0070	7070		0070				0070	01 70	0070	1070	
Writing	2016		65%	53%	38%	57%	59%	-	67%	-	*	44%	52%	48%	55%	52%	-
	2015	67%	63%	59%	41%	66%	63%	-	71%	-	-	*	56%	62%	65%	54%	*
Grade 5																	
Reading	2016	80%	79%	67%	57%	67%	74%	-	*	-	*	25%	63%	58%	73%	63%	*
-	2015	83%	82%	76%	67%	70%	88%	-	70%	-	-	31%	71%	59%	82%	70%	*
Mathematics	2016	0.50/	83%	86%	82%	88%	88%	_	*		*	65%	84%	82%	87%	86%	*
wainematics	2015		74%	82%	76%	85%	83%	-	80%	-	_	56%	82%	79%	90%	74%	*
	2010	1070	1 170	0270	1070	0070	0070		0070			0070	0270	7070	0070	7 170	
Science	2016		70%	64%	48%	68%	70%	-	*	-	*	35%	60%	63%	62%	67%	*
	2015	69%	67%	60%	55%	46%	80%	-	60%	-	-	*	54%	34%	62%	58%	*
Grade 6																	
Reading	2016	68%	68%	60%	48%	48%	77%	-	60%	-	*	*	53%	30%	63%	56%	-
	2015	73%	71%	59%	51%	48%	76%	-	*	-	*	19%	54%	29%	59%	58%	*
Mathematics	2016	71%	70%	72%	67%	76%	70%		83%		*	55%	73%	65%	82%	62%	
iviatriematics	2015		70%	58%	37%	55%	70%	-	*	-	*	26%	54%	55%	51%	65%	*
Grade 7	0040	000/	070/	<b>=</b> 40/	470/	450/	700/		*			*	400/	050/	000/	400/	*
Reading	2016 2015		67% 72%	54% 59%	47% 55%	45% 60%	70% 64%	-	*	-	*	*	46% 53%	25% 34%	60% 64%	48% 54%	*
	2010	12/0	12/0	<b>33</b> /0	33 /0	0070	0470						33 /0	J <del> 7</del> 70	0470	J <del> 7</del> 70	
Mathematics		68%	66%	60%	49%	52%	71%	-	88%	-	*	21%	53%	47%	62%	58%	*
	2015	68%	68%	47%	38%	49%	52%	-	*	-	*	*	48%	28%	53%	43%	-
Writing	2016	68%	67%	60%	55%	51%	72%	_	*	_	*	*	53%	25%	69%	50%	*
9	2015		70%	50%	28%	49%	64%	-	*	-	*	*	43%	29%	63%	38%	*
Grade 8 Reading	2016	85%	86%	79%	78%	73%	86%		75%		*	36%	76%	52%	79%	79%	
rteading	2015		84%	73%	63%	73%	87%	-	*	_	*	*	66%	43%	78%	67%	*
Mathematics	2016		81%	77%	67%	72%	87%	-	86%	-	*	55%	75%	50%	81%	74%	- *
	2015	71%	72%	61%	32%	60%	77%	-	^	-	•		53%	37%	63%	58%	
Science	2016	73%	71%	64%	54%	58%	79%	-	*	-	*	29%	55%	30%	71%	58%	-
	2015		64%	50%	36%	49%	65%	-	*	-	*	*	41%	20%	49%	51%	*
Cooled Otherster -	2040	600/	E00/	E40/	250/	470/	660/		*		*	*	400/	220/	400/	E 40/	
Social Studies	2016 2015		59% 57%	51% 43%	35% 28%	47% 40%	66% 62%	-	*	-	*	*	42% 35%	23%	48% 43%	54% 44%	*
	2010	3.70	J. 70	10 /0	_0,0	.570	<b>0-</b> /0						3370		.0 /0		
End of Course										_			,				_
English I	2016		64%	48% 40%	37%	50%	65%	-	*	*	*	* 16%	41% 46%	15%	59%	39%	*
	2015	00%	67%	49%	30%	55%	64%	-			-	16%	46%	23%	58%	42%	

		State	Region 07	District	African American	Hispani	c White	American Indian				Special Ed		ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
English II	2016 2015		66% 69%	49% 55%	35% 38%	54% 63%	68% 69%	*	*	*	*	13% 24%	46% 49%	18% 23%	53% 65%	46% 47%	*
Algebra I	2016 2015		78% 78%	64% 69%	48% 59%	71% 70%	75% 76%	*	40% 64%	*	*	20% 21%	62% 67%	49% 47%	72% 72%	57% 67%	*
Biology	2016 2015		87% 89%	72% 75%	69% 73%	77% 73%	82% 87%	*	25%	*	*	29% 33%	69% 73%	46% 43%	76% 78%	68% 72%	*
U.S. History	2016 2015		87% 85%	82% 83%	69% 79%	88% 85%	91% 89%	*	42% *	-	*	46% 53%	78% 77%	63% 39%	84% 82%	80% 83%	*
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		72% 72%	63% 62%	52% 49%	63% 62%	74% 74%	*	47% 43%	*	53% 64%	31% 25%	59% 57%	47% 46%	67% 65%	60% 59%	36% 63%
Reading	2016 2015		71% 73%	59% 61%	47% 49%	57% 62%	73% 73%	*	34% 31%	*	44% 56%	23% 24%	54% 56%	39% 43%	63% 67%	55% 56%	* 57%
Mathematics	2016 2015		74% 72%	68% 65%	56% 50%	68% 65%	76% 74%	*	68% 68%	*	60% 80%	41% 28%	65% 62%	58% 56%	70% 66%	66% 64%	* 77%
Writing	2016 2015		66% 67%	57% 55%	46% 35%	54% 57%	67% 64%	-	62% 53%	-	*	27%	52% 50%	39% 47%	62% 64%	51% 46%	*
Science	2016 2015		76% 73%	67% 63%	58% 57%	69% 57%	77% 79%	*	39% 32%	*	*	30% 25%	62% 58%	48% 33%	70% 63%	65% 62%	*
Social Studies	2016 2015		73% 71%	66% 62%	53% 49%	66% 60%	78% 76%	*	35%	-	*	33% 33%	59% 54%	41% 21%	67% 61%	65% 63%	*
STAAR Percent at	Final I	Level	ll or Abo	ve													
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		38% 35%	26% 23%	14% 13%	24% 20%	37% 34%	*	18% 18%	*	29% 16%	12% 10%	21% 19%	10% 10%	28% 25%	23% 22%	27% 29%
Reading	2016 2015		39% 38%	26% 26%	15% 15%	22% 20%	38% 40%	*	18% 15%	*	31% 22%	12% 12%	20% 20%	7% 8%	30% 29%	22% 22%	* 29%
Mathematics	2016 2015		36% 32%	24% 21%	12% 12%	23% 20%	34% 27%	*	23% 29%	*	20% 10%	14% 12%	21% 18%	13% 16%	25% 21%	24% 21%	* 23%
Writing	2016 2015		36% 28%	22% 18%	18% 10%	17% 17%	31% 23%	-	23% 29%	-	*	14%	19% 14%	6% 7%	26% 26%	19% 11%	*
Science	2016 2015		41% 36%	30% 24%	17% 17%	29% 20%	43% 37%	* -	12% 10%	*	*	10% 10%	25% 20%	12% 5%	34% 24%	27% 25%	*
Social Studies	2016 2015		38% 34%	25% 26%	12% 12%	25% 25%	34% 37%	* -	5% *	-	*	11% 6%	19% 18%	7% 4%	25% 22%	25% 31%	*
STAAR Percent at	Level	III Adv	anced														
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		14% 12%	7% 7%	3% 2%	5% 5%	11% 11%	*	3% 7%	*	16% 8%	2% 1%	5% 5%	1% 3%	7% 7%	6% 6%	5% 3%
Reading	2016 2015		14% 13%	8% 8%	4% 3%	5% 5%	14% 15%	*	4% 6%	*	6% 11%	2% 1%	5% 5%	1% 2%	10% 10%	6% 6%	* 7%
Mathematics	2016 2015		14% 11%	6% 6%	2% 3%	5% 6%	10% 8%	*	6% 13%	*	13% 10%	2% 1%	5% 6%	2% 5%	6% 6%	7% 7%	* 0%
Writing	2016 2015		11% 6%	4% 2%	1% 0%	3% 1%	6% 4%	- -	0% 0%	- -	*	2%	3% 1%	0% 0%	5% 3%	2% 0%	*
Science	2016 2015		12% 11%	6% 4%	4% 1%	4% 3%	10% 8%	*	0% 6%	*	*	1% 3%	3% 3%	0% 1%	8% 4%	4% 5%	*
Social Studies	2016 2015		16% 13%	8% 11%	1% 3%	9% 9%	11% 18%	*	0%	- -	*	4% 0%	6% 7%	1% 1%	3% 9%	13% 13%	*

All Tests	2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 98%	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 90%
Reading	2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 97%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 97%	100% 99%	100% 98%	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 93%
Mathematics	2016 2015	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	*	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 93%
Writing	2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 97%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%
Science	2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 98%	100% 100%	100% 100%	*	97% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 97%	100% 99%	99% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 80%
Social Studies	2016 2015	98% 99%	99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	*	100% 100%	- -	* 100%	100% 94%	100% 99%	99% 99%	99% 100%	100% 99%	* 67%
STAAR Participation Re	esults by	Asses	sment 1	Type fo	r Stude	nts Ser	ved in	Special	Educa	tion Se	ttings ( <i>i</i>	All Grac	les)				
Reading Tests																	

#### ST

Reading Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	98%	97%	98%	99%	95%	100%	-	*	-	*	98%	98%	95%	98%	98%	*
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	13%	11%	8%	4%	6%	12%	-	*	-	*	8%	8%	6%	10%	7%	*
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	73%	76%	82%	81%	80%	83%	_	*	-	*	82%	82%	88%	77%	84%	*
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	11%	11%	9%	13%	9%	5%	_	*	-	*	9%	9%	2%	11%	7%	*
% of Non-Participants	2016	2%	3%	2%	1%	5%	0%	-	*	-	*	2%	2%	5%	2%	2%	*
Mathematics Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	99%	98%	99%	98%	98%	100%	-	*	-	*	99%	99%	100%	98%	99%	*
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	12%	10%	9%	5%	9%	13%	-	*	-	*	9%	9%	10%	11%	8%	*
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	75%	76%	80%	80%	78%	81%	_	*	_	*	80%	80%	88%	75%	83%	*
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	12%	10%	13%	11%	6%	_	*	_	*	10%	10%	2%	13%	8%	*
% of Non-Participants	2016	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	_	*	_	*	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	*
70 C. T.C.I. T. dittolpanto	_510	. 70	_ /0	. 70	_ /0	_ /0	<b>5</b> /0					. 70	. 70	<b>3</b> 70	- 70	. 70	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;\*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

#### Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

Percent

	All	African sAmerican	Hienanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More	Econ		ELL I (Current & Monitored)			Total Eligible	
Performance Status - State	Student	SAIIICIICAI	mapame	WILLE	iliulali	Asiaii	isiailuei	Naces	Disauv	Lu	wormoreu,	•	MICL	Liigibie	WIGE
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Υ	N	Υ	Υ		N			N	Ν	N		3	8	38
Mathematics	Υ	N	Υ	Υ		Υ			Υ	Ν	Υ		6	8	75
Writing	N	N	N	Υ					Ν	Ν	N		1	7	14
Science	Υ	N	Υ	Υ					Υ	Ν	Υ		5	7	71
Social Studies	Υ	N	Υ	Υ					N	Ν	N		3	7	43
Total													18	37	49
Performance Status - Federa	I														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N	N	N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	Ν	N	n/a			
Mathematics	N	N	N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ		Υ			Υ	Υ		Υ	8	8	100
Mathematics	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ		Υ			Υ	Υ		Υ	8	8	100
Total													16	16	100

<sup>&#</sup>x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

<sup>?&#</sup>x27; Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

	All	African			American	Pacific	Two or		Snocia	ELL I (Current &	EI I	Total	Total	Percent of Eligible Measures
		tsAmerican	Hispanic	White						Monitored)			Eligible	
Federal Graduation Status (T	arget: Se	e Reason Co	des)											
Graduation Target Met	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ				Ν				4	5	80
Reason Code ***	С	С	b	а										
Total												4	5	80
District: Met Federal Limits o Reading	n Alterna	itive Assessr	ments											
Alternate 1%	N													
Number Proficient	20													
Total Federal Cap Limit	19													
Mathematics														
Alternate 1%	N													
Number Proficient	18													
Total Federal Cap Limit	15													
Total												0	1	0
Overall Total												38	59	64

- + Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)
  \* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
  \*\*\* Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90% c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ	Special	ELL (Current &	ELL
		American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	
Performance Rates	Otadonio	,oou	торито	*********	maian	7101011	ioiaiiaoi	Nuovo	Diodai		oc. cu,	(Garront)
Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	1,031	191	397	412	-	23	*	**	712	53	255	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	1,697	401	664	559	-	59	*	**	1,283	223	510	428
% at Level II Satisfactory	61%	48%	60%	74%	-	39%	*	50%	55%	24%	50%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	919	167	378	335	-	30	*	**	668	73	287	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	1,328	297	543	437	-	39	*	**	1,010	179	433	358
% at Level II Satisfactory	69%	56%	70%	77%	-	77%	*	73%	66%	41%	66%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	213	41	79	84	-	**	-	*	153	15	59	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	373	87	146	126	-	**	-	*	290	54	123	101
% at Level II Satisfactory	57%	47%	54%	67%	-	67%	-	*	53%	28%	48%	n/a
Standard												
Science						**		*				
# at Level II Satisfactory	426	70	185	159	-	**	-	*	292	24	117	n/a
Standard						**		*				
Total Tests	610	124	258	206	-		-	*	451	80	187	142
% at Level II Satisfactory	70%	56%	72%	77%	-	53%	-	*	65%	30%	63%	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies	245	20	95	101	*	6		*	157	15	38	2/2
# at Level II Satisfactory	245	39	95	101		6	-		157	15	30	n/a
Standard	366	75	111	131	*	10		*	264	45	83	65
Total Tests		75 52%	144	77%	*	12 50%	-	*	204 59%	45 33%		
% at Level II Satisfactory Standard	67%	52%	66%	11%	-	50%	-	-	59%	33%	46%	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme	ents											
Number Participating	1,817	414	711	581	*	84	**	16	1,371	234	n/a	504
Total Students	1,817	414	711	581	*	84	**	16	1,371	234	n/a	504
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asses												
Number Participating	1,412	306	568	457	*	62	*	15	1,070	186	n/a	408
Total Students	1,415	306	569	459	*	62	*	15	1,072	186	n/a	409
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	*	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%
•												

						Two or			ELL	
All	African		American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
Students	American Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)

- \* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- \*\* When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or				
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	uation Rate	(Gr 9-12): CI	ass of 2015									
Number Graduated	130	24	39	64	-	**	-	*	69	5	5	n/a
Total in Class	148	28	44	69	-	**	-	*	84	6	11	9
Graduation Rate	87.8%	85.7%	88.6%	92.8%	-	50.0%	-	*	82.1%	83.3%	45.5%	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	uation Rate	(Gr 9-12): CI	ass of 2014									
Number Graduated	125	19	53	50	*	*	-	*	84	15	8	n/a
Total in Class	144	24	59	57	*	*	-	*	95	19	13	11
Graduation Rate	86.8%	79.2%	89.8%	87.7%	*	*	-	*	88.4%	78.9%	61.5%	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rate	e (Gr 9-12): (	Class of 2014	4									
Number Graduated	125	19	53	50	*	*	-	*	84	15	8	n/a
Total in Class	144	24	59	57	*	*	-	*	96	19	13	11
Graduation Rate	86.8%	79.2%	89.8%	87.7%	*	*	-	*	87.5%	78.9%	61.5%	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient 20
Total Federal Cap Limit 19
Mathematics
Number Proficient 18
Total Federal Cap Limit 15

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- \*\* When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

**Priority schools** are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports.Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Results available at campus level only.

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

Results available at campus level only.

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	District		State	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
No Degree	1.8	0.9%	3,524.0	1.0%
Bachelors	169.0	82.4%	259,559.7	74.7%
Masters	34.3	16.7%	82,029.5	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	2,158.9	0.6%

### Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

#### **All Campuses**

#### **Core Academic Subject Areas**

		General Education	Special Education	Total
Total Number of Teachers		144	11	155
Total Number of Classes		475	20	495
	Number	447	20	493 467
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		94.11%	100.00%	
N 1 (A) T 1(1 N (11 1 A 11 T 1	Percent		100.00%	94.34%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	28	0	28
	Percent	5.89%	0.00%	5.66%

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

Number of Teachers				
Elem	secondary			
(PK-6)	(7-12)			
0	0			
0	0			
0	0			
0	0			
0	0			
0	0			
	Elem (PK-6) 0 0 0 0			

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number of Teachers	
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	7	1
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

### High Poverty Campuses

**Core Academic Subject Areas** 

		General	Special	Total
		Education	Education	
Total Number of Teachers		23	1	24
Total Number of Classes		23	1	24
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	23	1	24
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

	Number of Teachers		
	Elem (PK-6)	secondary (7-12)	
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0	
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0	
Non-renewable	0	0	
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0	
District Teaching	0	0	
Temporary	0	0	

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number of Teachers	
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	1	0
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

## Low Poverty Campuses Core Academic Subject Areas

Report Not Required

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

Report Not Required

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

Report Not Required

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Year Enrolled in Higher Education	District	Region 07	State
2013-14	58.9%	54.5%	57.5%
2012-13	59.6%	55.1%	56.9%

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

#### State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

Grade	Subject	Student Group	% Below Basic	% At or Above Basic	% At or Above Proficient	% At or Above Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall .	36	64	31	7
	-	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	<b>Below Basic</b>	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
	•	Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

<b>Grade</b> Grade 4	<b>Subject</b> Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	<b>%</b> 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment